SLAC-PUB-16499

750 GeV Diphoton Resonance in Warped Geometries

JoAnne L. Hewett and Thomas G. Rizzo*
SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA USA

We examine the scenario of a warped extra dimension containing bulk SM fields in light of the observed diphoton excess at 750 GeV. We demonstrate that a spin-2 graviton whose action contains localized kinetic brane terms for both gravity and gauge fields is compatible with the excess, while being consistent with all other constraints. The graviton sector of this model contains a single free parameter, once the mass of the graviton is fixed. The scale of physics on the IR-brane is found to lie in the range of a $\sim$ few TeV, relevant to the gauge hierarchy. There remains significant flexibility in the coupled gauge/fermion KK sectors to address the strong constraints arising from precision measurements.

arXiv:1603.08250v4 [hep-ph] 16 Aug 2016

The large disparity between the electroweak and 4-dimensional scale of gravity has served as inspiration for numerous theories of physics beyond the Standard Model (SM), as well as for corresponding experimental searches, for several decades. One appealing possibility is that this hierarchy is generated by the geometry of additional spacial dimensions[1], with a promising scenario based on gravity being localized in a warped extra dimension[2]. The principle signature[3] of the warped geometry scheme is the production of Kaluza-Klein (KK) resonances at high-energy colliders.

The ATLAS and CMS collaborations have recently reported an excess in the diphoton invariant mass spectrum at 750 GeV in both of the 8 and 13 TeV data sets[4, 5]. While the global significances of these excesses are not (yet) large, being of order 2σ for each data set, the presence of an excess in each of these separate collections of data is striking. The observed cross section for this excess differs between the two experiments, with the ATLAS 13 TeV data preferring larger cross sections of order $\sim 9-10$ fb, while the CMS 13 TeV data favors lower values of $\sim 3-5$ fb. The 8 TeV data sample for both collaborations is found to be consistent with the CMS 13 TeV results. A useful statistical discussion[6] of the various analyses indicates a slight preference for the lower cross section. The possibility that this is the new physics holy grail we have been seeking has sent the theoretical community into a frenzy[7]. Few of these works[8] have seriously considered the prospect of a spin-2 resonance as the source of the excess, and all of these efforts suggest some tension with data. In this paper, we demonstrate that a realistic scenario can be constructed with a warped extra dimension that is naturally consistent with the observation of a diphoton resonance at 750 GeV, while satisfying constraints from other data.

This theoretical framework is based on a slice of AdS_5 spacetime bounded by two 4-dimensional Minkowski branes with the 5^{th} dimension, denoted by $y = r_c\phi$ being bounded by the UV- or Planck(IR- or SM) brane sitting at $y = 0(\pi r_c)$. The associated 5-D metric is

$$ds^2 = e^{-2ky}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu - dy^2\,. \qquad (1)$$

The warp factor is represented by the exponential and the parameter k, of order the Planck scale, governs the degree of curvature of the AdS_5 space. The relation $\overline{M}_{Pl}^2 = M_5^3/k$ is derived from the 5-D action and indicates that there are no additional hierarchies in this scenario. The scale of physical phenomena realized by a 4-D flat metric transverse to the 5^{th} dimension is specified by the warp factor, and is given on the IR-brane by $\Lambda_\pi = \overline{M}_{Pl}\epsilon$ with $\epsilon = e^{-kr_c\pi}$. Assuming $\Lambda_\pi \simeq$ a few TeV to characterize the electroweak scale on the IR-brane sets $kr_c \simeq 11-12$. (Here we take this to be 11.27.) It has been demonstrated[9] that this configuration can be stabilized without the fine tuning of parameters. The gauge hierarchy is thus naturally established by the warp factor $\epsilon \sim 10^{-(15-16)}$.

The simplest picture places all the SM fields on the IR-brane, with only gravity propagating in the extra dimension, and is governed by only two parameters Λ_π and the ratio $k/\overline{M}_{Pl}$. After compactification, the mass of the n^{th} KK graviton state is $m_n^G = x_n^G k\epsilon = x_n^G k\Lambda_\pi/\overline{M}_{Pl}$, with x_n^G being the roots of the Bessel function J_1. The coupling strength for each graviton KK excitation is Λ_π^{-1}[3]. In this scenario, the graviton interpretation[8] of the diphoton excess has been shown to be in tension with the 8 and 13 TeV LHC searches for new states in the dilepton channel. This is because universality of the graviton couplings leads to the requirement that $B(G_1 \to e^+e^-) = 0.5B(G_1 \to \gamma\gamma)$ with the corresponding scaling of the cross section. Thus with the $\gamma\gamma$ production rate being fixed by the observation of the diphoton excess, the predicted dilepton production rate lies uncomfortably close to or above the observed 8 and 13 TeV limits[10].

The additional dimension is small enough that the SM gauge fields[11] and fermions[12] are allowed to propagate in the bulk; this scenario has attractive model-building features such as the potential to (at least partially) explain the fermion mass hierarchy. In this case the masses of the gauge KK states are governed[11] by the roots of the equation $J_1(x_n^A) + x_n^A J_1'(x_n^A) + \alpha_n[Y_1(x_n^A) + x_n^A Y'(x_n^A)] = 0$, with the numerical coefficients α_n being determined by the boundary condition on the UV brane. The gauge KK masses are then $m_n^A = x_n^A k\epsilon$. Comparison

of the values of the roots $x_n^G\,, x_n^A$ shows that level by level, the KK excitations of the gauge bosons are lighter than those of the corresponding graviton KK states. In particular, the first roots are found to take the values $x_1^G \simeq 3.83$ and $x_1^A \simeq 2.45$, so that we expect $m_1^G \simeq 1.56 m_1^A$.

When the SM fermions also reside in the bulk, additional parameters ($m_i = k\nu_i$ or $= -kc_i$) are introduced corresponding to the bulk fermion masses; these parameters determine the fermion wavefunction localization. As the Higgs-boson is kept on or near the IR-brane, a consistent picture emerges if light flavor fermions are localized near the UV-brane and the third generation quarks are localized on or near the IR-brane. This scenario is severely constrained by precision electroweak data. However, judiciously enlarging the gauge symmetry to, *e.g.*, the Left-Right Model (LRM), provides[13] a custodial isospin symmetry in the bulk that protects the ρ parameter. In this scenario constraints from precision measurements, even with the presence of the custodial symmetry, still require the first gauge KK states to be heavier than $\sim 3-4$ TeV[14], which in turn pushes the first graviton KK state to be even heavier.

While this scenario paints a nice picture by simultaneously solving the gauge and fermion hierarchies, one more ingredient is needed to make contact with the recently observed 750 GeV excess - the introduction of brane localized kinetic terms(BLKTs). It has been shown[15] that such terms naturally arise from quantum effects. The phenomenological consequence of such terms is to modify the spectrum and couplings of the graviton[17] and gauge[18] KK states.

To fix our scenario, we will examine a simplified case where the graviton, gluon, photon and transverse W and Z fields propagate in the bulk while the third generation quarks are localized on the IR-brane together with the Higgs and the longitudinal components of the W and Z, *i.e.*, the Goldstone bosons. The graviton and gauge fields have BLKTs on both branes. As shown in the third paper in Ref. [12], once all the light fermions are localized with $\nu = -c \lesssim -1/2$ (which we assume) they essentially decouple from the KK gravitons, so we have no need to specify their localization further as far as the KK gravitons are concerned, however we will return to this issue below. This localization also implies that the G_1 decay into dilepton pairs will be very highly suppressed. The 5-D gravitational action is now given by

$$S_G = \tfrac{M_5^3}{4}\int d^4x \int r_c d\phi\, \sqrt{-G}\, \Big\{R^{(5)} + [2\gamma_0/kr_c\ \ \delta(\phi) + \ 2\gamma_\pi/kr_c\ \ \delta(\phi-\pi)]\, R^{(4)} + \ldots\Big\}\,, \qquad (2)$$

where $\gamma_{0,\pi}$ represent the kinetic terms for the branes located at $\phi = 0, \pi$ and naturally take on values of order 10 when this model addresses the gauge hierarchy. The corresponding generic 5-D gauge action can be written as

$$S_V = \tfrac{-1}{4}\int d^4x \int r_c d\phi\, \sqrt{-G}\, \left\{F_{AB}F^{AB} + [2\delta_0/kr_c\ \ \delta(\phi) + \ 2\delta_\pi/kr_c\ \ \delta(\phi-\pi)]\, F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \ldots\right\}\,, \qquad (3)$$

with $\delta_{0,\pi}$ being the gauge field BLKTs. Here, for simplicity, we will assume that all of the SM gauge fields have common values for the kinetic terms although this need not be the case; this has the consequence that KK graviton decays into the $Z\gamma$ final state will be absent. We note that the absence of gauge (graviton) ghosts requires $\delta_0 + \delta_\pi > -\pi k r_c$ ($\gamma_0 > -1/2$) while the absence of radion ghosts requires $\gamma_\pi < 1$, and we will respect these constraints below. In the presence of BLKTs, the masses of the graviton KK states are found[17] to be governed by the roots of

$$J_1(x_n^G) - \gamma_\pi x_n^G J_2(x_n^G) = 0\,, \qquad (4)$$

with small terms $\sim O(\epsilon^2)$ being dropped. The KK masses are now functions of γ_π, being given by $m_n^G = x_n^G(\gamma_\pi)k\epsilon$. Note that the graviton KK mass spectrum is independent of the BLKT on the UV-brane, γ_0, up to terms suppressed by warp factors. Here, we identify G_1 with the 750 GeV excess.

The presence of BLKTs modify the couplings of the graviton KK states to both bulk zero-mode gauge fields and IR-brane localized fields. This leads to an overall suppression of these couplings by a factor of

$$\lambda_n \equiv \Big[\frac{1+2\gamma_0}{1+(x_n^G\gamma_\pi)^2 - 2\gamma_\pi}\Big]^{1/2}\,. \qquad (5)$$

In addition, the couplings of the G_n to the transverse zero-mode gauge fields in the bulk are modified by an additional factor of [12, 16]

$$\delta_n = \frac{2(1-J_0(x_n^G)) + (\delta_\pi - \gamma_\pi)(x_n^G)^2 J_2(x_n^G)}{(\pi k r_c + \delta_\pi + \delta_0)(x_n^G)^2 |J_2(x_n^G))|}\,. \qquad (6)$$

We will primarily be interested in $\delta \equiv \delta_1 > 0$ in what follows. For the simplicity of argument, we will initially ignore the *gauge* BLKT contributions to this expression, *i.e.*, we take $\delta_{0,\pi} = 0$ for now, and return to their influence below. The partial widths for the first graviton KK state decays into SM fields, as functions of δ, are now easily calculated using the expressions in Ref. [8] for the IR brane fields (all scaled by overall factors of λ_1^2) and Ref. [19] for the various gauge field polarizations (which also include overall λ_1^2 factors). The branching fractions, which are independent of the value of λ_1, are shown in the top panel of Fig. 1. Here we see that these branching fractions vary significantly with δ: For small values, decays to IR-brane fields are dominant while decays to the bulk gauge fields become more important as δ increases. A sizable value of $\mathrm{B}(G_1 \to \gamma\gamma)$ is necessary to reproduce the observed excess, thus requiring a respectable value for δ.

TABLE I: Partial widths and branching fractions for the decay of the first graviton excitation into the SM fields assuming $\delta = 0.5$

Channel	Scaled partial width	Branching Fraction
$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$	0.25 Γ_0	4.05%
Γ_{gg}	2.0 Γ_0	32.39%
Γ_{ZZ}	0.37 Γ_0	6.06%
Γ_{WW}	0.73 Γ_0	11.84%
Γ_{hh}	0.12 Γ_0	2.01%
$\Gamma_{b\bar{b}}$	1.5 Γ_0	24.29%
$\Gamma_{t\bar{t}}$	1.2 Γ_0	19.35%

We note that if $\gamma_\pi = 0$, we obtain $\delta = (4\pi k r_c)^{-1} \simeq 0.007$ which is far too small. Clearly $\delta \simeq 0.5$, or larger, is required (which we take as our benchmark for purposes of demonstration) to obtain an adequate branching fraction. The graviton branching fractions are tabulated in Table I for this benchmark value. (Fields associated with any custodial symmetry are assumed to be too massive to appear in the decays of a 750 GeV state.)

Noting that δ is purely a function of x_1^G, we next determine the values of x_1^G, if any, that yield the desired range for δ; this is displayed in the middle panel of Fig. 1. Here we see that, *e.g.*, $x_1^G \simeq 5.110$ gives $\delta = 0.5$. The lower panel in this Figure then shows that this value of x_1^G is realized when the brane term $\gamma_\pi \simeq -7.652$, thus fixing this quantity for the remainder of this discussion. Employing these results we find that $\lambda_1 \simeq 0.025(1+2\gamma_0)^{1/2}$. The partial widths for G_1 decays to SM fields now become calculable and are also given in Table I in units of

$$\Gamma_0 = \lambda_1^2 \frac{(m_1^G)^3}{80\pi\Lambda_\pi^2} = 1.09\times 10^{-3} \left[\frac{1+2\gamma_0}{25}\right] \left[\frac{5\ \text{TeV}}{\Lambda_\pi}\right]^2 \text{GeV}\,. \tag{7}$$

Armed with the G_1 branching fractions for this scenario, we next compute its production cross section at the $\sqrt{s} = 8\,, 13$ TeV LHC. For purposes of demonstration, we will take the 13 TeV diphoton excess cross section to be 5 fb in our numerical analysis. Since the graviton couplings to light quarks are effectively absent due to the fermion localizations, the graviton is produced solely via gluon-gluon fusion. We find the rate for G_1 production and subsequent decay into diphotons to be $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} = 4.86$ fb $(1+2\gamma_0)/25$ $(5\ \text{TeV}/\Lambda_\pi)^2$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Clearly a correlated range of (γ_0, Λ_π) near these default values will reproduce the observed cross section. Note that taking $\Lambda_\pi = 5$ TeV corresponds to a value of $k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 0.029$, similar to those previously considered in studies of warped geometries. $\Lambda_\pi \sim 5$ TeV is also remarkably close to the desired value to explain the hierarchy! We further note that the G_1 state is extremely narrow, *i.e.*, $\Gamma = 6.17\Gamma_0$. The jury remains out as to whether the observed excess would best correspond to a



FIG. 1: (Top) Branching fractions for G_1 as a function of δ for, from top to bottom on the left-hand side, top(cyan), W(blue), h(green), Z(red), g(black) and γ(magenta) pairs. (Middle) Value of δ as a function of the first graviton root x_1^G in the region of interest. (Bottom) The value of the root x_1^G as a function of γ_π.

TABLE II: Production cross section for 750 GeV G_1 for various SM final states at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,, 13$ TeV.

Channel	σ^{13} (fb)	σ^{8} (fb)
$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$	5.0	1.18
σ_{gg}	40.0	9.44
σ_{ZZ}	7.48	1.77
σ_{WW}	14.6	3.45
σ_{hh}	2.48	0.59
$\sigma_{b\bar{b}}$	29.9	7.06
$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$	23.4	5.64

wide or narrow resonance.

Taking these representative numerics, we show the production rates for a 750 GeV graviton first KK state with subsequent decays into SM final states at the $\sqrt{s}$=8 and 13 TeV LHC in Table II. We find that the 8 TeV diphoton production rate is 1.18 fb, which is consistent with observations by CMS [5]. In particular, the observed ratio of cross sections at $M_{\gamma\gamma} = 750$ GeV for the CMS spin-2 analysis is $\sigma^{13}/\sigma^{8} = 4.2$, agreeing with our result. A clear prediction of this scenario is the existence of of 750 GeV resonance in dijets, ZZ, WW, hh, $b\bar{b}$ and $t\bar{t}$ final states. The current bounds on a 750 GeV resonance in these various final states are collected in Ref. [8], and we see from this reference that our predicted rates are well within these constraints at both energies. Clearly it is possible that a 750 GeV G_1 resonance may be observed in additional channels with enough luminosity. As a simple example, the total event rate for the ZZ final state is shown in Fig. 2 for 10 fb^{-1}, in comparison to the SM background. We see that the graviton signal should be observable above the SM background. Of course incorporating realistic branching fractions, efficiencies and selection cuts would increase the required luminosity to observe this peak; this result is meant only to be suggestive and a detailed study incorporating these effects should be performed but is beyond the scope of the present work. However, we note that analyses from Run I have confirmed that boosted jet techniques can yield high efficiencies in the all hadronic channel, which would boost statistics.

A necessary signal for a graviton KK tower is the observation of more than one KK state. In our numerical example, the second KK graviton, G_2, has a predicted mass of $\simeq$ 1233 GeV. Unfortunately, this state has a much smaller production cross section due to falling parton densities, a smaller value for λ_2 and the fact that $\delta_2 \simeq 0.04$ which, together imply a significantly smaller $G_2 \to gg$ partial width by roughly a factor of ~ 50. For this value of δ_2, Fig. 1 further implies that this state essentially decays only to IR-brane fields and does not produce a sizable diphoton signal.

There still remains an issue with this scenario: the



FIG. 2: ZZ invariant mass distribution in the neighborhood of the 750 GeV KK graviton resonance. The red (black) points correspond to the graviton (SM) event rate.

lightest set of gauge KK states (collectively called A_1 here) have masses below G_1, even when these fields have their own brane terms[18]. As stated above, in the absence of BLKTs the mass of the A_1 is constrained to lie above $\sim 3-4$ TeV from precision electroweak and FCNC constraints, as well as by direct searches. However, the existence of BLKTs can substantially reduce the severity of these bounds as they modify the mass spectrum and couplings of the gauge KK states, particularly the couplings to IR-brane localized fields. In our calculations, we take the gauge kinetic terms to be equal, *i.e.*, $\delta_0 = \delta_\pi$, for numerical simplicity. The top panel of Fig 3 shows the root, x_1^A, (which governs the mass) of the first gauge KK state as a function of δ_π, while the middle panel displays the the scaled couplings of the gauge KK states to the IR-brane fields in SM units. Note that the root is independent of δ_0. A 750 GeV G_1 state implies $m^A_{1,(2)} \simeq 565(1033)$ GeV which fixes $x_1^A \simeq 3.85$ and $\delta_\pi = -(5-17)$. It is important to observe from this Figure that the gauge couplings decrease significantly for higher gauge KK states.

This scenario avoids potential precision constraints as follows: (i) the vev of the Higgs field localized on the IR-brane induces mixing between the gauge fields in the KK tower which subsequently results in a shift in the masses of the W and Z bosons, *i.e.*, a non-trivial ρ parameter. This effect on the W and Z masses is completely captured by the parameter[20] $V \simeq \Sigma_n\ (g_n^2/g_{SM}^2)\ (M_W^2/M_n^2)$, where g_n, M_n are the gauge KK couplings to IR-brane fields and the gauge KK masses respectively. For the range of δ_π considered above, especially for the more negative values, it is simple to obtain values of $V \sim (1-5)\times 10^{-4}$, or even less, thus suppressing this source of conflict with precision measurements. The presence of a further custodial symmetry would reduce these ef-

fects by even larger factors. (*ii*) A second potential constraint is the introduction of large corrections to the $Zb\bar{b}$ vertex which can arise from two sources: KK excitations of $t-, b-$quarks, which are absent in our scenario, and large KK gauge couplings to t, b appearing in loops. As we can see from the Figure, these couplings are now highly suppressed by the BLKTs. (*iii*) A third issue is FCNC constraints which arise when the gauge KK couplings are both significant and generation dependent. However, if the third generation coupling to the lightest gauge KK are suppressed to $\sim 1/10$ their SM value or less *and* the first and second generation fermions live at $\nu = -c \lesssim -0.5$ so that their couplings are similarly suppressed, this issue is ameliorated as all couplings are now both small and roughly equal [12]. (*iv*) Lastly, the substantial suppression of the gauge KK couplings to all of the fermions helps us to avoid any constraints that might arise from direct searches, *e.g.*, the potential dilepton signal is reduced by factors of $\sim O(10^3)$. We note that we have not invoked the influence of potential fermionic brane terms which can used to further suppress any potentially dangerous fermionic couplings.

A potential concern is that the presence of $\delta_0 = \delta_\pi \neq 0$ BLKTs would spoil the graviton results which were obtained above in their assumed absence. To address this issue it is sufficient to show that the results obtained above are left unmodified, *i.e.*, the values of γ_π and x_1^G required to obtain $\delta = 0.5$ are unaltered by the presence of BLKTs in the range of interest. Fixing $\gamma_\pi = -7.652$, we show in the lower panel of Fig. 3 the corresponding value of δ as a function of x_1^G for 4 different values of $-17 \leq \delta_0 = \delta_\pi \leq -5$. In all cases we find that the required values of $x_1^G \simeq 5.110$ and $\delta = 0.5$ vary by less than 0.01% in comparison to the case without BLKTs. Thus the graviton results obtained above are robust for a wide range of interesting values of the gauge BLKTs. Of course solutions can also be obtained when the equality of δ_0 and δ_π is no longer assumed.

In conclusion, we examined the scenario of a warped extra dimension containing bulk SM fields in light of the observed diphoton excess at 750 GeV. We demonstrated that a bulk spin-2 graviton whose action contains localized kinetic brane terms is compatible with the excess, while being consistent with all other constraints. BLKTs for the gauge fields do not alter these results and, together with appropriate fermion localizations and a possible additional custodial symmetry allow these KK states to remain quite light. The typical value of Λ_π is found to lie in the region ~ 5 TeV, relevant to the gauge hierarchy. The 750 GeV signal should eventually be observable in other channels and we look forward to future data.

We thank K. Agashe for pointing out an error in the previous version of this manuscript and H. Davoudiasl for discussions. This work was supported by the Department of Energy, Contract DE-AC02-76SF00515. In the process of completing this manuscript, a related paper



FIG. 3: (Top) Value of x_1^A as a function of δ_π. (Middle) Values of the KK gauge couplings scaled to the SM value as functions of $\delta_\pi = \delta_0$ for, from top to bottom, n=1-5. (Bottom) The value of δ as a function of x_1^G assuming $\gamma_\pi = -7.65$ for $\delta_\pi = \delta_0 =$ -5(magenta), -10(blue), -15(green), -17(cyan) or γ_π(red).

appeared[21] which focuses on a somewhat different region of parameter space.

* hewett,rizzo@slac.stanford.edu

[1] For a review of extra dimensional models, see, J. L. Hewett and M. Spiropulu, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **52**, 397 (2002) [hep-ph/0205106]; C. Csaki, In *Shifman, M. (ed.) et al.: From fields to strings, vol. 2* 967-1060 [hep-ph/0404096]; E. Ponton, arXiv:1207.3827 [hep-ph].

[2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999) [hep-ph/9905221].

[3] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2080 (2000) [hep-ph/9909255].

[4] ATLAS-CONF-2015-081, CERN, Geneva (2015), `http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114853`; CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004, CERN, Geneva (2015), `http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114808`.

[5] P. Musella (CMS Collaboration) and M. Delmastro (ATLAS Collaboration), talks given at the *51st Rencontres de Moriond, Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories*, La Thuile Italy, March 2016.

[6] M. R. Buckley, arXiv:1601.04751 [hep-ph].

[7] For a recent extensive list of theoretical interpretations of the excess, see X. Liu and H. Zhang, arXiv:1603.07190 [hep-ph]. See also F. Staub *et al.*, arXiv:1602.05581 [hep-ph].

[8] See, S. B. Giddings and H. Zhang, arXiv:1602.02793 [hep-ph], and references therein.

[9] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4922 (1999) [hep-ph/9907447].

[10] ATLAS-CONF-2015-081, CERN, Geneva (2015), `http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114842`; CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004, CERN, Geneva (2015), `http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114855`.

[11] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B **473**, 43 (2000) [hep-ph/9911262]; A. Pomarol, Phys. Lett. B **486**, 153 (2000) [hep-ph/9911294].

[12] Y. Grossman and M. Neubert, Phys. Lett. B **474**, 361 (2000) [hep-ph/9912408]; T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B **586**, 141 (2000) [hep-ph/0003129]; H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 075004 (2001) [hep-ph/0006041].

[13] K. Agashe, A. Delgado, M. J. May and R. Sundrum, JHEP **0308**, 050 (2003) [hep-ph/0308036].

[14] M. Carena, E. Ponton, J. Santiago and C. E. M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 035006 (2007) [hep-ph/0701055]; M. Baak, *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2003 (2012) [arXiv:1107.0975 [hep-ph]].

[15] H. Georgi, A. K. Grant and G. Hailu, Phys. Lett. B **506**, 207 (2001) [hep-ph/0012379].

[16] We thank G. Wojcik for the initial derivation of this expression.

[17] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, JHEP **0308**, 034 (2003) [hep-ph/0305086].

[18] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 045002 (2003) [hep-ph/0212279]; M. Carena, E. Ponton, T. M. P. Tait and C. E. M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 096006 (2003) [hep-ph/0212307].

[19] A. Oliveira, arXiv:1404.0102 [hep-ph]; T. Han, J. D. Lykken and R. J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 105006 (1999) [hep-ph/9811350].

[20] T. G. Rizzo and J. D. Wells, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 016007 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.61.016007 [hep-ph/9906234].

[21] A. Falkowski and J. F. Kamenik, arXiv:1603.06980 [hep-ph].